UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,407	05/02/2006	Ian Hynd	64589(50024)	1097
21874 7590 05/15/2009 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 55874			ROBINSON, RYAN C	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/561,407	HYND ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	RYAN C. ROBINSON	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ja	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,10-21 and 31-39 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5,6,8,10-21 and 31-39 is/are rejection 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examined 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the	vn from consideration. ted. r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected accepted in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object or is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/2006; 8/28/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit **2614**.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5-6, 8, 10-21, and 31-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Browne et al., PCT Publication No WO 03/005764, published on 1/16/2003, (hereby Browne) in view of Corsaro et al, U.S. Publication No. 2004/0062405, filed on 10/1/2002 (hereby Corsaro).
- 4. As to claim 31, Browne discloses a driver apparatus for driving a distributed mode loudspeaker (Fig. 1), the driver apparatus comprising: an actuator operable to move in dependence on an acoustic signal (6); and a coupler (9) formed of a resilient material (Page 2, line 12), the coupler being configured to, in use, couple movement of the actuator to an acoustic radiator to cause the acoustic radiator to operate in a distributed mode fashion. It is noted that Browne does not explicitly teach a specific

Application/Control Number: 10/561,407

Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

hardness of the coupler, however Browne does teach that the hardness of the material must be considered to achieve the desired acoustical coupling, and is an elastomer (Page 2, lines 27-29; Page 3, lines 1-2). Corsaro teaches an elastomeric acoustic coupler (Fig. 1b, element 13), to couple an actuator (12) to an acoustic radiator (10), having a shore A hardness of no more than 20. (The recommended hardness is 10; Para. 0042, lines 8-9). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to select any appropriate hardness to realize the desired acoustical coupling including a coupler having a Shore A hardness of no more than 20, as taught by Corsaro.

- 5. As to claim 32, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the coupler (9), engages with the actuator (6).
- 6. As to claim 33, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the coupler (9) is configured to engage with the acoustic radiator (Page 2, lines 10-11).
- 7. As to claim 34, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the coupler defines a substantially planar surface (11) configured to engage with a surface of the acoustic radiator.

Art Unit: 2614

8. As to claim 35, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the actuator is operative in dependence upon an electrical signal (Page 3, lines 5-6).

- 9. As to claim 36, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the actuator comprises a moving coil actuator (Page 3, lines 5-7).
- 10. As to claim 37, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the device consists of a polymer (Page 2, lines 27-28).
- 11. As to claim 38, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. It is noted that Browne and Corsaro do not explicitly teach a specific composition of the resilient material. However, Browne teaches that the material is suitably formed from a polymer, and flexible. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use a commercially available flexible polymer as the resilient material, including a gel.
- 12. As to claim 39, Brown and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the coupler defines a substantially planar surface (11) that is configured to removably engage with a surface of the acoustic radiator (Page 2, line 11).

Application/Control Number: 10/561,407

Art Unit: 2614

13. As to claim 1, Brown and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses a substantially rigid planar member (7), and that the coupler defines a first surface (11) configured to be removably coupled to the acoustic radiator (Page 2, line 11), and the substantially rigid planar member (7) being attached to the voice coil and being disposed between the voice coil and the first surface. It is noted, however that Brown does not disclose that the actuator comprises a voice coil, and a magnet assembly. Brown discloses, as an example in a particular embodiment, a magnetostrictive actuator. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have known that any actuator would have been sufficient including one comprising a voice coil and a magnet assembly as a design choice.

Page 5

- 14. As to claim 2, Brown and Corsaro remain as applied above. Brown and Corsaro may not specifically teach that the gel comprises a hydrogel. However, Browne teaches that the material is suitably formed from a polymer, and flexible. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use a commercially available flexible polymer as the gel, including a hydrogel.
- 15. As to claims 5 and 6, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Corsaro further teaches the coupler having a Shore A hardness between subtantially 5 and substantially 15, or substantially 10 (The hardness is 10; Para. 0042, lines 8-9).

Art Unit: 2614

16. As to claim 8, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the coupler (9) consists of a single moulded element.

- 17. As to claims 10-12, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. It is noted that Browne and Corsaro do not explicitly disclose the construction of a magnet assembly, however Examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to construct a magnetic assembly having an axially extending central portion defining a first pole of a permanent magnet; and a radially extending portion coupling the central portion to an axially extending magnetic shroud, the shroud defining a second pole of the permanent magnet; and the central portion and the shroud definine an annular flux space therebetween with a voice coil extending into the flux space.
- 18. As to claim 13, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the coupler (9) comprises a disc defining the first surface (11).
- 19. As to claim 14, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the coupler (9) comprises a wall (12) upstanding form an opposing surface of the disc (11).
- 20. As to claim 15, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the coupler (9) accommodates the magnet assembly and the voice coil, since the actuator assembly (6) would contain the magnet assembly and voice coil.

Art Unit: 2614

21. As to claim 16, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the planar member (7) is mounted adjacent to the opposing surface of the disc (11).

- 22. As to claim 17, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the wall (12) has an inner diameter and an outer diameter, and the disc (11) has a diameter greater than said outer diameter such that the disc defines a flange around the wall.
- 23. As to claim 18-19 Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the opposing surface of the disc (11) is provided with at least one continuous ridge extending around the wall (12), and the ridge is concentric with the wall (12). The ridge is on the outer perimeter of the disc portion (11).
- 24. As to claim 20, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that the wall (12) is provided with a radially extending flange (13) for engaging the magnet assembly.
- 25. As to claim 21, Browne and Corsaro remain as applied above. Browne further discloses that an outer diameter of the wall (12) decreases in a direction away from the disc.

Response to Arguments

26. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 5-6, 8, 10-21, and 31-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

27. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As to claim 7, the prior art (Browne), does not teach that the coupler maintains the voice coil and magnetic assembly in a spatially separated relationship.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record

a. PCT Publication Number WO 03/005764

b. US Publication Number 2004/0062405

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan C. Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 270-3956. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 am to 5 pm.

Art Unit: 2614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/R. C. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Suhan Ni/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614